UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/716,108 | 11/18/2003 | Mark A. Alcazar | MS1-1799US | 6123 |
| 22801 LEE & HAYES | 7590 03/25/200 S PLLC | EXAMINER | | |
| 421 W RIVERS | SIDE AVENUE SUITE | HOANG, PHUONG N | | |
| SPOKANE, WA | A 99201 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2194 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/25/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/716,108 | ALCAZAR ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| PHUONG N. HOANG | 2194 | |

| | | PHUONG N. H | OANG | 2194 | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communica | ation appea | rs on the cove | er sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PL | | | | - | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but pri application, applicant must timely file one of the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods: | ior to or on the following re iice of Appea | he same day as eplies: (1) an ar al (with appeal f | s filing a Notice o mendment, affida ee) in compliance | f Appeal. To avoid abai vit, or other evidence, v e with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the rebly in the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dono event, however, will the statutory period for response Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either | late of this Adveply expire later box (a) or (b) | visory Action, or er than SIX MON). ONLY CHECK | (2) the date set fort ITHS from the maili | ng date of the final rejection | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MF Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CINOTICE OF APPEAL |). The date or period of exter date of the sho e Office later th | n which the petition nsion and the colortened statutory | rresponding amoun period for reply ori | t of the fee. The appropri- ginally set in the final Office | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), convolution Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS | or any extens | sion thereof (37 | CFR 41.37(e)), 1 | o avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final (a) They raise new issues that would require (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see N | further cons | sideration and/o | | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or | ation in bette | er form for appe | | | he issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without ca NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 3 | - | | - | ejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 3 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following representations. | 7 CFR 1.121 | I. See attached | | ompliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w non-allowable claim(s). | | | ted in a separate | , timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be reject the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as followed: none. | cted is provid | | | vill be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1- 2, 4-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3</u> . | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(| of good and | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the da entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is | failed to ove | ercome <u>all</u> reje | ctions under appe | eal and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been con | | | | - | |
| <u></u> | | • | • • | in condition for allowall | oo because. |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Stat</i> 13. ☐ Other: | tement(s). (P | PTO/SB/08) Pa _l | per No(s) | | |
| /Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended the independent claims 1, 16, and 19 reciting "navigation-base", "the navigation-based web applicationexecution" and, "the instance" will require further search and consideration.